

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 1ST DECEMBER 2006 / 10TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 31999 of 2006(H)

PETITIONER:

SRI.BALAN PILLAI
S/O.NARAYANA PILLAI
KALAMANDIRAM, DESOM PO,
CHENGAMANADU VILLAGE
ALUVA TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.V.S.CHANDRASEKHARAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
ERNAKULAM.

2. ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD
CHENGAMAD.

3. SRI.GOPI, S/O.RAMAN
PERUMANATH THURUTHUSSERIL,
NEAR SNBP, ATHANI.

BY SRI.JOSE J MATHEIKAL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 1/12/2006, THE COURT ON 01/12/2006 DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

C.N. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

.....
W.P. (C) No. 31999 OF 2006 H
.....

Dated this the 1st day of December, 2006

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner is challenging Ext.P4 order whereunder 1st respondent has approved drawal of electric line over the pathway for giving connection to the 3rd respondent. Petitioner's grievance is that petitioner will be affected by the drawal of electric line over his property. On going through Ext.P4, I find the line approved over petitioner's property requires only 22 mtrs. of weatherproof cable, which is insulated and hence benign. Petitioner's proposal for alternate route is seen considered in Ext.P4 and it was noticed that the alternate proposal involves crossing of property of six persons and the line requires drawal of 90 mtrs. of overhead cable and 25 mtrs. of weatherproof cable. In the circumstances, Ext.P4 is perfectly in order and the writ petition challenging the same is dismissed.

(C.N. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE)

aks